

Tricky Things (Common tradeoff decisions that make an impact on big buildings) (Common tradeoff decisions that make an imp Phius Pro Forum – Oct 2024

Introduction & Overview

Agenda:

10m – Introductions, Preamble 10m – Stack Effect 15m – Trash Chutes 15m – Laundry Rooms 15m – Kitchen Exhaust 15m – Podium Construction 10m – Conclusions 90m Total







Ryan Abendroth Phius Tech. Committee

Lisa White CPHC Trainer | Phius Associate Director | Phius Lwhite@phius.org

John Loercher **CPHC Trainer | Phius** JLoercher@phius.org



Audience Poll:



- What type of buildings do you work on? (SF, MF, Commercial non-residential, Community development)
- What is the tallest building you've worked on?
- What foundation types have you worked on?
 - slab on grade? basement? podium?
- Direct exhaust range hood or recirc?
- What was number one challenge?



Interviews with Multifamily Building Practitioners

- Pool knowledge and experiences
 - Create "guide" with potential solutions for passive building community
 - Continually evolving, updating
 - Integrating into professional training
- We want to hear from more people!
 - See "sign up" sheet at back
- Work In progress, stay tuned

"We wrestle with this decision on every project"

Who we talked to:





Galen Staengl Staengl Engineering Phius Tech. Committee

Russell Richman University of Toronto Phius Tech. Committee



Carmel Pratt Bright Power **Michael Hindle**



Tony Lisanti Passive to Positive Integral Building + Design Phius Tech. Committee



John Woelfling Dattner Architects



Prudence Ferreira Thornton Tomasetti Phius Tech. Committee

Michelle Apigian Icon Architecture



Maciej Konieczny **New Ecology**

'Tricky Things' Cheat Sheet



Cheat Sheet

Campus / Site Planning:

Campus Source Energy (Guidebook 0.5.5 Campus / Community Certification)

- Problem: When entire communities of passive buildings are planned, there is less flexibility to maximize
 orientation which can be a critical element when planning for onsite renewable energy generation.
- Guidance: For a campus/community certification, where there are multiple residential buildings being certified that share a commo site, building or space, a source energy limit applies for the campus/ community as a whole.

EV requirements for Parking Lots (Guidebook 1.3.4.3 Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Infrastructure)

- Problem: Phius does not require the inclusion of electric vehicle charging in the source energy consumption
 of a building (transportation sector), but it does require all buildings the be EV-ready as per the ZERH
 Multifamily co-requisite program
- Guidance: Single family projects <u>DOE ZERH V2 Checklist</u> Multifamily projects - <u>DOE ZERH Multifamily V2</u> Non-Residential - 10% EV-ready spaces / total parking spaces

Non-Residential Planning:

Commercial source energy limits

Non-Residential Source Energy Limit: kWh/ft2.year (vs kWh/person.yr)

Variable / Seasonal Occupancy (Guidebook

- Problem: Non-residential spaces are 1. all used differently and 2. difficult to predict how many occupants will be in the building at a single time and at what time during the day.
- Guidance: Two occupancies should be identified for certifaction, documented and entered in WUFI:
 1- Average occupancy (over typical 24hr period)
 2- Design (Max) occupancy (based on code)

Process Loads, Lighting and Auxilary Energy (Guidebook 1.4.4.13 Systems)

- Problem: Non-residential projects may contain devices and equipment not typically seen in a residential
 project. The energy used and other interior loads produced by these devices must be accounted for properly.
- Guidance: Reference the certification guidebook for common non-residential loads and placeholders. Include conservative placeholders in the energy model early in design for booster fans and pumps, elevators, equipment for adjacent (non-certified) spaces and specialty equipment.

"There's Stack Effect, and there's the impact of Stack Effect"

- Russel Richman, Director of Graduate Studies, Building Science Ryerson University

Other 'Tricky Things' addressed directly in the Phius Certification Guidebook

- Campus / Site Planning
- Non-Residential Buildings
- Building Enclosure Design



Boundaries

- Thermal boundary
- Airtight Boundary
 - For Phius airtightness test
- Phius Certified model boundary
- Ideally all of these align

<u>Airtightness Testing</u> <u>Guidebook Appendix C-2.3</u>

- Enclosure Durability Test
 - Used for pass/fail
 - Tape off non-threatening leakage
- Operational Test
 - Untaped
 - Everything in "natural" position

Stack Effect and the Phius Envelope



425 Grand Concourse – Dattner Architects (26 stories)



The Effect:

Air movement caused by thermal differences, usually in an enclosed vertical shaft.

- Hot air is less dense, rises
- Pressure difference created

The Problem:

<u>If not planned for</u>, can impact:

- Airtightness testing
- HVAC distribution
- Envelope durability





Considerations:

- Stack effect is a function of:
 - Height of the shaft
 - Difference if temp.
- Phius buildings are <u>less</u>
 <u>impacted</u> by stack effect
 - 0.08cfm/ft2 limit (5+ stories)
 - Attention to detail in design
 - Onsite verification



Considerations:

- <u>Its going to happen</u>
- Single open window can provide equivalent area to whole-building envelope leakage (ft2)

Best Practices:

- Compartmentalization
- Stop-floors for vertical shafts
- Semi-centralized systems





Option 1: Centralized Equipment:

- The taller the duct shaft, the more susceptible it is to stack effect
- Constant Airflow Regulators (CAR dampers)
 - At terminations
 - Off main trunk (manual damper)





Option 1a: 'Petersen approach'

• Automatic balance damper (CAR) at each termination

Option 1b: 'BEC approach'

 Manual damper (CAR) for each units (off trunk)



Challenges: Proper testing and verification? Protocol? Equipment for testing this?



De / Semi-Centralized Equipment:

 Equipment placed at every floor or every few floors to compartmentalize impact of stack effect

Challenges:

 Accessing exterior penetrations on large buildings



Important Differentiation:

A: Whole-building Testing

 Reporting required for WUFI and Phius certification

B: Guarded Testing

- Floor 'sampling'
- Allows more detailed inspection of isolated areas of work





Trash Chutes

Challenges with Trash Chutes

- Huge "donut hole" cut into the building
- Must keep at a negative pressure
 - Requires continuous exhaust ventilation
 - Important for odor control
- Frequently accessed by tenants with small access doors on each floor
- Where do you air seal?









Option 1: ALL IN

• Include trash chute **inside** the thermal envelope

Option 2: ALL OUT

• Cut trash chute **outside of** the thermal envelope

OR Don't do them!

• Suggest trash closets or concierge service?

Option 1: Trash Chute Inside Thermal Envelope

PROS

- Simplifies details for air sealing
 - Including planning for services that run through compactor room
- Simplifies airtightness testing
- Reduces total "exposed" enclosure area



CONS

- Risk of odor transfer
- Airtight doors required in compactor room at bottom of shaft
 - Could be a challenge with larger garage type doors
- Energy penalty from continuous exhaust air
- Pulls air from interior space through exhaust fan at top
 - May require additional supply ventilation air to keep whole building in balance



Option 1: Trash Chute Inside Thermal Envelope

Other potential configuration, if podium construction





© Phius 2024

O Trash Chute Inside Airtight Enclosure

ONE SOLUTION:

Ventilation

- Exhaust air vent fan at top of chute, running continuously
 - Pulls some interior air through leaks trash chute access doors
- Separate ERV at bottom of shaft in compactor room to satisfy exhaust air requirements
 - Balances room separately, eases effects of negative pressure on chute access doors

Airtightness

- Airtight doors from compactor room to outside
- Building airtight boundary stays in line with thermal boundary



Option 2: Trash Chute Outside of Airtight Boundary

PROS

- Minimizes potential odor transfer
- Minimizes thermal loss impact of continuous exhaust



CONS

- Airtight (and insulated?) chute access doors required on each floor
- More "exposed" enclosure area
- Trickier airtightness testing boundary
- Tricky detailing at base/ compactor room with potential services or other equipment



Option 2: Trash Chute Outside of Airtight Boundary

Other potential configuration, if podium construction





© Phius 2024

Trash Chute Outside of Airtight Boundary



ONE SOLUTION

Ventilation

- Exhaust air vent fan at top of chute, running continuously (same as other solution)
- Direct exhaust at compactor room to satisfy code req.

Airtightness

- Air-sealed trash shaft
 - Seal from the inside of shaft, hit 3 sides of 4 before enclosing
- Airtight doors at each level for trash chute access
- Attention to detail at walls/roof enclosing compactor room
 - Attention to detail where services may run through new air-tight boundary at compactor room

Elevators

© Phius 2024



Building code doesn't require a big hole in the top anymore – big help!

But still an *almost unavoidable* contributor to stack effect. Needs its own pit, footing, potentially sump pump.

Potential thermal bridge.

Energy use is tricky to estimate. Lots of variables.

Laundry Rooms in Multifamily Buildings

Challenges:

Exhaust Air Losses

- Lots of exhaust air in one space in an airtight, balanced vent building
 - Causes localized depressurization
 - If this causes > 5 Pa pressure difference, you need makeup air

Makeup Air

- Where do you bring it in?
- How much do you bring in?
- How is that makeup airflow rate managed?
- Do you condition it? How, when?
- How is it air sealed?

Airtightness

- Lots of enclosure penetrations
 - Exhaust ducts
 - Makeup air
- What can you tape?
- What is always open?



Equipment Leasing

- Limited options
- Most large buildings lease the dryer equipment from vendors that only carry standard direct exhaust dryers



© Phius 2024

Option 1: ALL IN



PROS

- Simplifies whole building air sealing strategy
 - Does not require sealing of internal floors/ceiling/walls
- Simplifies airtight boundary

CONS

- Lots of holes in enclosure for dryer exhaust, attention to detail required at seal
 - Not continuously operating, cannot tape for airtightness test
 - Maybe not a big hit if dryers are installed during airtightness testing
- Likely need makeup air system
 - Must control how much, when/how?



Option 1: ALL IN

ONE SOLUTION

Air Sealing

 Seal at dryer exhaust, maintain continuous exterior airtight boundary

Makeup Air

- Use variable speed makeup air fan
- Pre-heat incoming air with in-line electric resistance heater based on outdoor air temp





HOW MUCH MAKEUP AIR?

One Option

- Tie airflow rate of makeup air to number of dryers running based on amperage
 - A bit more electrical work

Another Option

- Tie airflow rate of makeup air to number of dryers running based on pressure differential sensor
 - Sensor may fail
 - Mixed success



Option 1B: MOSTLY IN, WITH PLENUM



PROS

- Simplifies air sealing at exterior wall and internal floors/ceiling
- Makeup air is mostly isolated to the dryers
 - Reduces space conditioning needs for makeup air
- Improved comfort in space

CONS

- Doesn't benefit Phius energy model
- May still have to heat makeup air
- Relying on gasketing around machine to "false wall" to isolate the exhaust and makeup air



Option 1B: MOSTLY IN, WITH PLENUM



ONE SOLUTION

Air Sealing

- Gasket seal around equipment using "false wall", backs up to plenum
 - Equipment face accessible to laundry room
- Plenum is "compartmentalized"
- Maintain same continuous exterior airtight boundary

Makeup Air

- Mechanical damper opens when dryers come on
- Plenum space maintains pressure balance
- Reduces mixing of makeup air with interior space, improves comfort and lowers energy use on heating/cooling system





Option 1: ALL IN \rightarrow Other Considerations

Pooling / Ganging Combining Exhaust

Common in larger buildings

PROS

• Reduces number of envelope penetrations

CONS

- Forces exhaust into commercial code reqs.
 - Exhaust fan must run continuously, no matter if dryers are on
 - Cannot use dampers



Option 2: ALL OUT

PROS

- Isolates thermal impact of dryer exhaust from rest of building
- Isolates dryer vent exhausts from airtightness testing
- Reduced internal gains from equipment

CONS

- Complicates air sealing details by including interior partitions
- Airtight door to laundry room required
 - Only effective if door remains closed
- Increases enclosure area for air leakage
 - 5 sides of cube vs. 1 "exposed"





Option 2: ALL OUT



ONE SOLUTION

Air Sealing

- Seal at laundry room enclosure
- Sealing 5 of 6 sides of cube now, instead of 1 (exterior wall)
- Airtight laundry room door required

Makeup Air

- Most likely required
- Maybe can just get away with a mechanized damper
- Has minimized thermal impact on the rest of the building
 - Pre-heat or temper based on outdoor temperature

A COMPANY OF

0 0 VIIIA 9 6

Kitchen Exhaust in Multifamily Buildings

Kitchen Exhaust: General Two exhaust locations in kitchens

1 Continuous exhaust, greater than 6' from cooktop

- Low flow (typically ~25-30 cfm in multifamily projects)
- Note: Increased to 50 cfm for 2024 IMC
- 2 Localized, intermittent exhaust above range hood
 - Recirculation hood OR
 - Direct exhaust hood



(Q) Kitchen Range Hood Options in MF

Recirculation Range Hoods

→Most commonly used in multifamily Phius projects



Direct Exhaust Range Hoods

→ Rarely used in multifamily Phius projects



Recirculation Range hoods

PROS

- Simple design
- Effective at removing the large particulate matter *before* those enter the ERV
 - (Note: For Phius, required to have MERV 3 or washable mesh filter for trapping grease on ERV inlet as well)

CONS

 Not as effective as direct exhaust for indoor air quality



Direct Exhaust Range Hoods in MF

PROS

• Improved indoor air quality

CONS

- More ductwork
 - Kitchens usually far from exterior wall, long ducts out
- More enclosure penetrations
- Makeup air likely required
 - Energy penalty AND maybe another duct + enclosure penetration
 - Pre-condition air?





Makeup Air Options:

- 1. Over-supply to corridors
 - 1. How much?
 - 2. Keeps "whole building" in balance?
 - 3. Effective enough is with compartmentalized units ? Hall doors leaky?
- 2. If unitized ERVs, maybe boost mode tied to range hood operation
 - 1. BUT, depends on capacity of unit ERV \rightarrow huge mis-match in airflows

Other Kitchen Exhaust Considerations

Actual Occupant Use of Range Hoods

→ Maybe a bigger step for IAQ is to tie these into the use of the cooktop?

EPA Indoor airPLUS v2 –

Requires* direct exhaust in single family, duplex.

*Exception for electric cooktop in Multifamily Buildings

'Pedestal' or 'Platform'

- Categorized by a division between top and bottom
- Podium (A) usually constructed of steel or concrete







The Problem:

Traditionally, most utilities are run on the underside of the podium, but that messes with the insulated box

The Solutions:

- Insulate on top
- Insulate below







Barry Farm 1a - Grimm and Parker / Passive to Positive

WALL SECTION DETAIL

58", 31,4"

7 3/4* 1-258

BERGLASS WINDOW W/ TRIPL PANE INSULATED GLASS

LOOR FINISH & BASE

Finch Cambridge – Icon Arch



ROP ON CONC. SLAB

MINERAL WOOL INSULATION

RE-FIN EXTRUD ALUM

2

Considerations:

your poison

- How to penetrate and seal
- How to deal with corrugated steel deck
- Utility access and maintenance
- Structural alignment (thermal bridging)
- Level of conditioning of adjacent spaces (condensation risk)





Podium Construction

Option 1: Insulate on top

Pros:

- Simplifies air-sealing and thermal continuity
- Forgiving with thermal bridges

Cons:

- 'Thickened' floor on top of podium
 - Utilities not accessible from ceiling below (if present)
- Continuity at elevator and other continuous shafts





.O. Slab Garage Level

GRADE BEAM, SEE



Barry Farm 1a - Grimm and Parker / Passive to Positive

Podium Construction

Option 2: Insulate below

Pros:

- Limits additional building height
- Simple thermal continuity at structure and other vertical connections

Cons:

- Difficult air-sealing around steel deck
- Thermal bridging at continuous structural connections (ES requirements)











Conclusion

© Phius 2024

Conclusion:



Early coordination between Architect, CPHC, Builder & Verifier to avoid pitfalls in construction

- Identify ways to simplify both design and testing protocols in early design phases
- Ensure everything can be effectively tested in the field
- Early compartmentalization planning
- Establish what is Inside / Outside Phius envelope
- Verifiers are responsible for all co-requisite programs, don't guess
- Clarify the difference between minimum certification requirements and the testing required to produce a high-quality building

CPHCs need to stick around after Design Cert.

- When things change during construction, verify design certification requirements are still satisfied
- CPHCs need to be in the field for continuous learning and improvement

Conclusion:

Q

We'd like to pick your brain!

Other 'Tricky' Topics:

- •Unitized ERVs and Impacts to envelope infiltration / WBBD testing
- •Overheating and Central VRF sizing / zoning
- •Package Terminal Heat Pumps (PTHPs)
- •Construction sequencing and verification coordination
- •Ventilation balancing for central systems
- Demand control ventilation
- •Blower door test approaches for tall buildings
- •'Baked-in' default aux. loads, TBs and vent rates for MF buildings

Please see the sign-up sheet or reach out directly

Thanks!





Lisa White



Ryan Abendroth Phius CPHC Instructor Associate Director | Phius Phius Tech. Committee Lwhite@phius.org

John Loercher **CPHC Trainer | Phius** JLoercher@phius.org

© Phius 2024